# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		EY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,044 12/30/2003		Mario Kabadiyski	65	570P030	9158	
	590 01/16/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &		EXAMINER			
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD				KENDALL, CHUCK O		
SEVENTH FLO LOS ANGELES	CA 90025-1030		AI	RT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		٠.		2192		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	01/16/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Appli	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/750,044 KABADIYSKI ET AL		ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		Chuck	O. Kendall	2192				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondenc	e address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of the property is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO a application to become a	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>03 Decemb</i> e	er 2003.					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	,					
·	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the	application						
•			consideration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement					
·	· , ———	Chorrana/or ciecu	лисципетнени.		•			
	on Papers		•					
,	The specification is objected to by the			_				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December</u>	<u>er 2003</u> is/are:  a)[∑	d accepted or b)  [ ]	objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•	• • •	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner	. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form	n PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received in this Natio	onal Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).					
. * \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the o	ertified copies no	ot received.				
	•							
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	1 <del>0 : 0 10)</del>	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/10/05</u> .		6)	·				
S. Patent and T.	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/750,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Application filed 12/30/03.

2. Claims 1 – 33 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1 – 4, 8, 12 – 15, 19, 23 – 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faraj 2002/0073063 A1.

Regarding claim 1, Faraj anticipates a method for tracing program flow within an application server comprising:

identifying one or more application components to be traced within the application server [0048, see server and trace logs];

modifying bytecode associated with the one or more application components, the modifications associated with a particular set of methods of the application components [0010, see bytecode manipulation and modification];

executing the application components [0025];

Application/Control Number: 10/750,044

Art Unit: 2192

registering method invocations and method-related information associated with the particular set of methods [0025]; and

translating method-related information to a format employed within a distributed statistical records ("DSR") system and forwarding the translated information to the DSR system [0083, see receive, format and log].

Regarding claim 2, the method as in claim 1 wherein one the application components are Java application components [0018].

Regarding claim 3, the method as in claim 1 wherein the application server is a Java 2 Enterprise Edition ("J2EE") server and the application components are J2EE services within the J2EE server [0084, describes the Java 2 platform and mentions the use of a database server in 0048].

Regarding claim 4, 4he method as in claim 1 further comprising: storing the method-related information within a plurality of DSR files within the DSR system [0083, see trace files].

Regarding claim 8, the method as in claim 1 wherein the method-related information comprises input and/or output parameters associated with each method of the set of methods [0028, see generating and displaying data for a user, same as output].

Regarding claim 12, the system version of claim 1, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 13, the system version of claim 2, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 14, the system version of claim 3, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 15, the system version of claim 4, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 19, the system version of claim 8, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 23, the article of manufacture version of claim 1, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 24, the article of manufacture version of claim 2, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 25, the article of manufacture version of claim 3, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 26, the article of manufacture version of claim 4, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 30, the article of manufacture version of claim 8, see rationale as previously addressed above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5 – 7, and 9 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faraj 2002/0073063 A1 as applied in claim 1, in view of Berry et al. USPN 6,662,359 B1.

Regarding claim 5, Faraj discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 1 above. Although, Faraj doesn't expressly disclose wherein modifying the bytecode comprises:

inserting a start method invocation prior to each method of the set of methods and inserting an end method invocation following each method of the set of methods, Faraj does disclose that it is known to perform insertion and modification of byte code in a Java .class file so that the java code generates an execution trace at runtime [0010]. However, Berry in an analogous art and similar configuration of bytecode modification discloses instrumenting and inserting entry and exit methods within the code (FIG. 5, 508 and all associated text). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Faraj and Berry because, it would enable generating an execution trace at runtime.

Regarding claim 6, Berry further discloses the method as in claim 1 wherein the method-related information comprises an amount of time it takes for each method within the set of methods to complete (6:47 – 50, for time stamps).

Regarding claim 7, Berry further discloses the method as in claim 1 wherein the method-related information comprises a number times that each method of the set of methods is executed (Berry FIG. 7, shows 718 increments the execution and returns back to 704).

Application/Control Number: 10/750.044

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 9, Berry further discloses the method as in claim 1 wherein the particular set of methods comprise entry and/or exit methods for each application component, the entry/exit methods representing entry and exit points to and from each component (Berry, FIG. 5, 508 and all associated text).

Regarding claim 10, the method as in claim 9 wherein the entry/exit methods are entry and exit points between an application component and an external system (Berry FIG. 7, shows 718 increments the execution and returns back to 704).

Regarding claim 11, the method as in claim 9 wherein the entry/exit method are entry and exit points between an application component and a database containing data usable by the application component (Berry FIG. 7, shows 718 increments the execution and returns back to 704).

Regarding claim 16, the system version of claim 5, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 17, the system version of claim 6, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 18, the system version of claim 7, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 20, the system version of claim 9, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 21, the system version of claim 10, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,044

Art Unit: 2192

Regarding claim 22, the system version of claim 11, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 27, the article of manufacture version of claim 5, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 28, the article of manufacture version of claim 6, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 29, the article of manufacture version of claim 7, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 31, the article of manufacture version of claim 9, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 32, the article of manufacture version of claim 10, see rationale as previously addressed above.

Regarding claim 33, the article of manufacture version of claim 11, see rationale as previously addressed above.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,044

Art Unit: 2192

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

Chade Kendoul 01/08/06